# Nonlinear waves in second order conformal hydrodynamics

D. A. Fogaça, H. Marrochio, F. S. Navarra, and J. Noronha

*Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo*

*C.P. 66318, 05315-970 São Paulo, SP, Brazil*

arXiv:1402.5548v1 [nucl-th] 22 Feb 2014

## Abstract

In this work we study wave propagation in dissipative relativistic fluids described by a simplified set of the 2nd order viscous conformal hydrodynamic equations. Small amplitude waves are studied within the linearization approximation while waves with large amplitude are investigated using the reductive perturbation method. Our results indicate the presence of a "soliton-like" wave solution in 2nd order conformal hydrodynamics despite the presence of dissipation and relaxation effects.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Experiments at RHIC and LHC indicate that the quark-gluon plasma is an almost perfect fluid where viscous effects are small [1–3]. In contrast, at low temperatures and nonzero baryon chemical potentials in the hadron gas phase, viscous effects may be considerably more pronounced [4–8]. Numerical studies of the hydrodynamical evolution of the Quark-Gluon Plasma (QGP) show that viscosity produces some visible but not very large effects on global observables [9].

In this work we investigate how the presence of a nonzero shear viscosity relaxation time affects wave propagation in relativistic fluids. Waves in a hadronic medium may be caused, for example, by fluctuations in baryon number or energy density. These fluctuations may be produced by inhomogeneous initial conditions which, as pointed out in [10], are the result of quantum fluctuations in the densities of the two colliding nuclei and also in the energy deposition mechanism. These fluctuations and their phenomenological implications have been studied extensively [11–15] because they may be responsible for the angular correlations of particle emission observed in heavy-ion experiments. There are also hydrodynamic fluctuations [10], which are the result of finite particle number effects in a given fluid cell. This generates local thermal fluctuations of the energy density (and flow velocity) which propagate throughout the fluid. Furthermore, there may be fluctuations induced by energetic partons, which have been scattered in the initial collision of the two nuclei and propagate through the medium, losing energy and acting as a source term for the hydrodynamical equations [16]. Finally, there may be also freeze-out fluctuations, which may be caused by finite particle number effects during and after the freeze-out of the hydrodynamically expanding fluid.

In non-relativistic fluid dynamics the most successful theory of dissipative systems is the Navier-Stokes (NS) theory [17, 18]. For instance, one can use this theory to investigate the evolution of density perturbations in a non-relativistic hadron gas and in a non-relativistic quark gluon plasma. Perturbations are usually studied with the linearization formalism [19, 20], which is the simplest way to study small deviations from equilibrium to obtain wave equations, eventually featuring dissipative and relaxation terms. The propagation of perturbations through a QGP has been investigated in several works with the help of a linearized version of the hydrodynamics of perfect fluids and of viscous fluids. In [21] the

authors went beyond linearization and considered the effects of shear viscosity on the propagation of nonlinear waves. This study was performed with the help of the well established reductive perturbation method [22–25].

The simplest extension of the well-known Navier-Stokes equations to relativistic fluids is plagued with instabilities and acausal signal propagation in the resulting equations [26–28], and, thus, they are not usually employed in numerical simulations. Currently, most fluid-dynamical simulations of the QGP employ a set of relaxation-type equations similar to those derived by Israel and Stewart (IS) [29] to close the conservation laws.

In this work we study the propagation of linear and nonlinear waves in relativistic fluids described by (a simplified set of) of the 2nd order conformal IS equations. We show how to obtain the linear wave equation which contains the dissipative and relaxation terms. This wave equation provides a dispersion relation that allows for the study of the stability and causality properties of the theory. We then expand the modes of the dispersion relation in powers of the wavenumber to investigate the effects of a nonzero relaxation time coefficient. Understanding the relaxation effects in the linear modes proved to be a useful guide to approach the same question in the case of nonlinear perturbations.

Solutions of nonlinear equations of motion generally contain nonlinear dispersive and dissipative terms. The relative strength of these different terms depends on microscopic properties of the system, which manifest themselves in the transport coefficients (such as the shear viscosity coefficient, $\eta$, and the relaxation time, $\tau_\pi$) and in the equation of state. Different combinations of these terms generate Korteweg - de Vries solitons, shock waves, strongly damped waves and so on. In principle, given the underlying microscopic theory, one can calculate the transport coefficients and the equation of state to determine the type of waves which can propagate in the system. However, when the underlying theory is QCD this is not an easy task due to the strongly coupled nature of the quark-gluon plasma and one needs to resort to phenomenological models to estimate $\eta$ and $\tau_\pi$ (the QCD equation of state can be reliably computed on the lattice [30]). The choice of these quantities defines the properties of the solutions of the wave equations. Inconsistent choices may lead to unphysical solutions and this opens the possibility of using waves to put some additional constraints on the values of $\eta$ and $\tau_\pi$ in the QGP. We will discuss this issue in this work and in particular the possibility of determining an upper bound for $\tau_\pi$.

In this paper we show how to obtain a system of two coupled differential equations to

study nonlinear waves in conformal IS theory. We solve this system numerically, determining the role played by shear viscosity and its relaxation time on wave packet evolution. One of the equations of this system is the Burgers' equation for the first order perturbation in the energy density, which does not contain relaxation effects, and it is, thus, the same equation obtained in the NS-based approach developed in [31]. The other equation describes the second order perturbation in the energy density, where the effects from a nonzero relaxation time coefficient become manifest. Our results indicate the presence of a "soliton-like", i.e., an approximately solitary wave solution, in IS theory despite the dissipative and relaxation effects. Another motivation to study nonlinear perturbations is the possibility to find an upper bound for the relaxation scale, which is not found in the linear treatment.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section we review the basic expressions of the simplified set of equations of Israel-Stewart theory used in this work. In Section III we study the linearized hydrodynamic equations, derive the corresponding wave equation, and perform a study of the stability and causality regarding the propagation of these waves. In Section IV we derive a system of coupled differential equations that describe the nonlinear waves in conformal Israel-Stewart theory. In Section V we solve these equations numerically and we finish with our conclusions and outlook. Throughout this study we use natural units $\hbar = c = k_B = 1$ and a mostly minus metric $g_{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{diag}(+,-,-,-)$.

## II. SECOND-ORDER CONFORMAL HYDRODYNAMIC EQUATIONS

The energy-momentum tensor of a relativistic fluid is

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \varepsilon u^\mu u^\nu - p\Delta^{\mu\nu} + \pi^{\mu\nu} \tag{1}$$

where $\varepsilon$ is the energy density, $p$ is the pressure, $u^\mu$ is the fluid 4-velocity $u^\mu = (\gamma, \gamma\vec{v})$ and $\gamma$ is the Lorentz factor $\gamma = (1-v^2)^{-1/2}$ (hence, $u^\mu u_\mu = 1$). The connection between $\varepsilon$ and $p$ defines the equation of state, which will be taken to be that of a conformal fluid, $\varepsilon = 3p$. The entropy density is then $s = \kappa T^3$, where $\kappa$ is a numerical coefficient. Furthermore, the projection operator orthogonal to the fluid velocity is given by $\Delta^{\mu\nu} \equiv g^{\mu\nu} - u^\mu u^\nu$. The shear stress tensor $\pi^{\mu\nu}$ is a symmetric (and traceless) tensor that is orthogonal to the flow $u_\mu \pi^{\mu\nu} = 0$ (Landau choice [17]). Besides the energy-momentum conservation equations

$\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$, or in explicit form,

$$D\varepsilon + (\varepsilon + p)\theta - \pi^{\mu\nu}\,\sigma_{\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{2}$$

$$(\varepsilon + p)Du^\alpha - \nabla_\perp^\alpha\, p + \Delta^\alpha_\nu \partial_\mu \pi^{\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{3}$$

the simplified set of the conformal IS equations [37] that defines the dynamics of the shear stress tensor $\pi^{\mu\nu}$ used here are [33]

$$\tau_\pi\Big(\Delta^\mu_\alpha\Delta^\nu_\beta D\pi^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{4}{3}\pi^{\mu\nu}\theta\Big) + \pi^{\mu\nu} = 2\eta\sigma^{\mu\nu} \tag{4}$$

where the operators are written in shorthand notation by $D \equiv u^\mu\partial_\mu$ and $\nabla^\alpha_\perp \equiv \Delta^{\alpha\mu}\partial_\mu$ and the expansion rate is $\theta \equiv \partial^\mu u_\mu$. The shear tensor is defined as $\sigma^{\mu\nu} \equiv \Delta^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha u_\beta$ using the doubly symmetric and traceless projection operator $\Delta^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \equiv (\Delta^{\mu\alpha}\Delta^{\nu\beta} + \Delta^{\mu\beta}\Delta^{\nu\alpha})/2 - \Delta^{\mu\nu}\Delta^{\alpha\beta}/3$. Also, note that in a conformal fluid $\tau_\pi \sim 1/T$ and $\eta \sim T^3$.

Effects from bulk viscosity (see, for instance, [41]) or from additional conserved charges are not taken into account here. Furthermore, even though the equation of motion for $\pi^{\mu\nu}$ derived from kinetic theory contains many more terms than the ones used here [42], in this paper we shall focus on the simplest set of equations that can still describe a causal (and stable) conformal dissipative fluid.

## III. LINEARIZED WAVE EQUATIONS

In order to obtain the simplest wave equation for a small perturbation in the fluid around equilibrium, one can resort to the formalism known as the "*linearization formalism*" [20, 21, 34], in which one performs the following expansions of the energy density, pressure, shear stress tensor, and fluid 4-velocity around their respective equilibrium configuration values (for simplicity, here we take the sound wave disturbances in the "x" direction)

$$\varepsilon(x,t) = \varepsilon_0 + \delta\varepsilon(x,t)\,, \qquad \tau_\pi(x,t) = \tau_\pi^0 + \delta\tau_\pi(x,t)\,, \qquad \eta(x,t) = \eta_0 + \delta\eta(x,t)$$

$$\pi^{\mu\nu} = \delta\pi^{\mu\nu}(x,t) \qquad \text{and} \qquad u^\mu(x,t) = (1,0,0,0) + (0,\delta u^x(x,t),0,0) \tag{5}$$

In Eq. (5), " $\delta$ " denotes a small deviation from equilibrium. After inserting the expansions (5) in Eqs. (2)-(4), the linearization approximation is performed by neglecting the $\mathcal{O}(\delta^n)$ terms for $n \geq 2$ in the resulting equations.

Linearizing the three equations (2) to (4), using that ${c_s}^2 = dp/d\varepsilon = 1/3$, we find

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta\varepsilon + \frac{4}{3}\varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\delta u^x = 0 \tag{6}$$

$$\frac{4}{3}\varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta u^x + \frac{1}{3}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\delta\varepsilon + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\delta\pi^{xx} = 0 \tag{7}$$

$$\tau_\pi^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta\pi^{xx} + \delta\pi^{xx} + \frac{4}{3}\eta_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\delta u^x = 0\,, \tag{8}$$

respectively.

Inserting (7) and its time derivative into the spatial derivative of (8) we find

$$\tau_\pi^0\Big[ -\frac{4}{3}\varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\delta u^x - \frac{1}{3}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\delta\varepsilon\Big] - \frac{4}{3}\varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta u^x - \frac{1}{3}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\delta\varepsilon + \frac{4}{3}\eta_0 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\delta u^x = 0\,. \tag{9}$$

Calculating the time and spatial derivative of (6) and inserting these results in the spatial derivative of (9), together with the Gibbs relation for the background $4\varepsilon_0/3 = T_0 s_0$, we obtain the following wave equation

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\delta\varepsilon - 3\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\delta\varepsilon - 3\tau_\pi^0 \frac{\partial^3}{\partial t^3}\delta\varepsilon = -\Big(\frac{3\chi}{T_0} + \tau_\pi\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\delta\varepsilon \tag{10}$$

with dissipation and relaxation time effects. Equation (10) is sometimes known as the *lossy wave equation* [35, 36]. The dimensionless coefficient $\chi$ is given by

$$\chi = \frac{4}{3}\frac{\eta_0}{s_0}\,. \tag{11}$$

In the limit $\tau_\pi^0 \to 0$ one recovers the linear wave equation for the viscous fluid described by the relativistic Navier-Stokes theory [21, 34]. Also, setting $\tau_\pi^0 = \chi = 0$, one obtains the linear wave equation for the ideal relativistic fluid [21, 34].

### 1. *Stability and causality*

To study some properties of (10) we consider a plane wave Ansatz for all the disturbances, e.g.,

$$\delta\varepsilon(x,t) = \mathcal{A}\, e^{i(kx-\omega t)}\,, \tag{12}$$

which yields the following dispersion relation [28]

$$\omega^2 = \frac{k^2}{3}\frac{(1 - i\Lambda\omega)}{(1 - i\tau_\pi^0\omega)} \tag{13}$$

where $\Lambda$ is given by

$$\Lambda \equiv \left( \frac{3\chi}{T_0} + \tau_\pi^0 \right). \tag{14}$$

For $\chi = 0$ and $\tau_\pi^0 = 0$ the dispersion relation (13) becomes the ideal fluid dispersion relation for a sound wave: $\omega^2 = k^2/3$. Setting only ${\tau_\pi}^0 \to 0$, (13) gives the Navier-Stokes sound wave dispersion relation [21] $\omega^2 = k^2/3 - i\omega k^2 \chi / T_0$.

Introducing the dimensionless variables $\hat{\omega} = \omega / T_0$, $\hat{k} = k/T_0$ and $\hat{\tau}_\pi = T_0 \, \tau_\pi^0$ , the dispersion relation (13) is rewritten as a dimensionless equation to be solved for $\hat{\omega}$

$$-\, i \, \hat{\tau}_\pi \, \hat{\omega}^3 + \hat{\omega}^2 + \left( i \, \hat{k}^2 \, \chi + \frac{i}{3} \, \hat{k}^2 \, \hat{\tau}_\pi \right) \hat{\omega} - \frac{\hat{k}^2}{3} = 0 \, . \tag{15}$$

To study the stability and causality properties of (10), we decompose the roots of (15) in two components as in [21, 28]: $\hat{\omega} = Re[\hat{\omega}] + i \, Im[\hat{\omega}]$ , where $Re[\hat{\omega}] \in \mathbb{R}$ and $Im[\hat{\omega}] \in \mathbb{R}$. This decomposition also turns the solution (12) into

$$\delta\varepsilon(\hat{x}, \hat{t}) = \mathcal{A} \, e^{Im[\hat{\omega}]\hat{t}} e^{iRe[\hat{\omega}]\left(\hat{k}\hat{x}/Re[\hat{\omega}] - \hat{t}\right)} \tag{16}$$

and again, $\hat{x} = T_0 \, x$ and $\hat{t} = T_0 \, t$ are dimensionless quantities. In (16) it is possible to identify the attenuation coefficient $Im[\hat{\omega}]$, which dictates the stability properties of the disturbance, i.e., stable perturbations have $Im[\hat{\omega}] < 0$. The phase velocity $\hat{v}_p$ and the group velocity $\hat{v}_g$ are given by the following expressions

$$\hat{v}_p(\hat{k}) = \frac{Re[\hat{\omega}]}{\hat{k}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \hat{v}_g(\hat{k}) = \frac{dRe[\hat{\omega}]}{d\hat{k}} \tag{17}$$

and causality violation occurs if $\hat{v}_g$ diverges [43].

In the analysis below we consider the coefficients of the strongly coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Supersymmetric Yang-Mills (SYM) fluid where $\eta_0 / s_0 = 1/(4\pi)$ and $\hat{\tau}_\pi = [2 - ln(2)]/(2\pi)$ [37]. In Fig. 1 we plot the group velocity $\hat{v}_g$ and the attenuation coefficient $Im[\hat{\omega}]$ for the three roots $\hat{\omega}_I$, $\hat{\omega}_{II}$ and $\hat{\omega}_{III}$ of (15). In Fig. 1(a) one can clearly notice that the three modes are stable since the imaginary parts of the modes are always negative. In Fig. 1(b) there is no causality violation since there is no divergence as $\hat{k}$ increases and the group velocity is bounded by unity. This figure shows that the linear sound wave disturbances around thermodynamical equilibrium in 2nd order hydrodynamics (with the transport coefficients of strongly-coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM) are causal and stable. A similar study can be done for the shear channel [28].

The three modes of the IS theory with strongly-coupled transport coefficients shown in Fig. 1 can be expanded in powers of $\hat{k}$. Since we are considering dimensionless variables,




(a) (b)

FIG. 1. Stability and causality properties of disturbances around equilibrium for IS hydrodynamics (described by Eq. (10)) with transport coefficients from strongly-coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM.

the limit of small $\hat{k}$ and $\hat{\omega}$ reveals the infrared behavior of the theory, i.e., the behavior of the modes with $\hat{\omega}, \hat{k} << 1$. Hydrodynamics can be considered as an effective theory at low energies and, thus, it should be well defined for small $\hat{k}$. We obtain the following relations

$$\hat{\omega}_I = \frac{\hat{k}}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{i}{2}\chi\hat{k}^2 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}\chi\left(\frac{3}{4}\chi - \hat{\tau}_\pi\right)\hat{k}^3 - \frac{i}{6}\chi\hat{\tau}_\pi\left(3\chi - \hat{\tau}_\pi\right)\hat{k}^4 + \mathcal{O}(\hat{k}^5) \tag{18}$$

$$\hat{\omega}_{II} = -\frac{\hat{k}}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{i}{2}\chi\hat{k}^2 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}\chi\left(\frac{3}{4}\chi - \hat{\tau}_\pi\right)\hat{k}^3 - \frac{i}{6}\chi\hat{\tau}_\pi\left(3\chi - \hat{\tau}_\pi\right)\hat{k}^4 + \mathcal{O}(\hat{k}^5) \tag{19}$$

$$\hat{\omega}_{III} = -\frac{i}{\hat{\tau}_\pi} + i\,\chi\hat{k}^2 + i\frac{\chi}{3}\hat{\tau}_\pi\left(3\chi - \hat{\tau}_\pi\right)\hat{k}^4 + \mathcal{O}(\hat{k}^5) \tag{20}$$

The first two modes describe sound waves at small momenta while the 3rd mode is a non-hydrodynamic mode. For small $\hat{k}$, the relaxation coefficient $\hat{\tau}_\pi$ first appears in the sound wave modes multiplied by $3\chi/4$ $(\eta_0/s_0)$ in the $\hat{k}^3$ term [37]. However, note that $\hat{\tau}_\pi$ already appears at zeroth order in $\hat{k}$ in $\hat{\omega}_{III}$ and it clearly defines a microscopic relaxation time scale [44].

We followed closely the study performed in [28] for the Israel-Stewart theory where the dispersion relation (13) and the decomposition of its modes in real and imaginary parts were considered in the large and small wavenumber limits. In [28] the authors suggested that the problem of acausality and instability are correlated in relativistic dissipative hydrodynamics.

For the modes (18), (19) and (20), the group velocity and the attenuation coefficient at

small $\hat{k}$ are

$$\hat{v}_{g\,I} = -\hat{v}_{g\,II} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\chi\left(\frac{3}{4}\chi - \hat{\tau}_\pi\right)\hat{k}^2 + \mathcal{O}(\hat{k}^4) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \hat{v}_{g\,III} = 0 \tag{21}$$

$$Im[\hat{\omega}_I] = Im[\hat{\omega}_{II}] = -\frac{\chi}{2}\hat{k}^2 - \frac{\chi}{6}\hat{\tau}_\pi\left(3\chi - \hat{\tau}_\pi\right)\hat{k}^4 + \mathcal{O}(\hat{k}^5) < 0 \qquad \text{and}$$

$$Im[\hat{\omega}_{III}] = -\left(\frac{1}{\hat{\tau}_\pi} - \chi\hat{k}^2\right) + \frac{\chi}{3}\hat{\tau}_\pi\left(3\chi - \hat{\tau}_\pi\right)\hat{k}^4 + \mathcal{O}(\hat{k}^5) < 0\,. \tag{22}$$

## IV. NONLINEAR WAVE EQUATIONS IN CONFORMAL ISRAEL-STEWART THEORY

The effects from a relaxation timescale $\hat{\tau}_\pi$ have not yet been studied in the context of nonlinear wave propagation. In order to investigate its effects in the study of nonlinear waves, we shall use the Reductive Perturbation Method (RPM) [22–25]. The RPM was used to study nonlinear waves in relativistic and non-relativistic hydrodynamics in [21, 31, 34, 40]. Our goal in this section is to find the nonlinear wave equation that governs the perturbation of the energy density in a hot dissipative and causal fluid described by IS hydrodynamics.

### A. Reductive Perturbation Method

With the RPM we can derive the nonlinear wave equation for perturbations in a fluid performing the following set of operations [21, 34]:

($a$) Rewrite Eqs. (2), (3), and (4) using the following dimensionless variables

$$\hat{\varepsilon}(x,t) = \frac{\varepsilon(x,t)}{\varepsilon_0} \;\;,\;\; \hat{v}_x(x,t) = \frac{v_x(x,t)}{c_s} = \sqrt{3}\; v_x(x,t) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \hat{\pi}^{xx}(x,t) = \frac{\pi^{xx}(x,t)}{p_0} \tag{23}$$

($b$) Change the coordinates in Eqs. (2), (3), and (4) from $(x,t)$ to the $(X,Y)$ space defined by the "stretched coordinates" [22–25]

$$X = \sigma^{1/2}\frac{(x - c_s t)}{L} = \sigma^{1/2}\frac{1}{L}\left(x - \frac{t}{\sqrt{3}}\right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad Y = \sigma^{3/2}\frac{c_s t}{L} = \sigma^{3/2}\frac{t}{\sqrt{3}L} \tag{24}$$

where $L$ is a characteristic length scale of the problem, which will be simplified in the final expressions, and $\sigma$ is a small ($0 < \sigma < 1$), dimensionless expansion parameter. We also

change the shear viscosity coefficient and the relaxation time to the $(X, Y)$ space in the following way [38, 39]

$$\eta = \sigma^{1/2}\,\tilde{\eta} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \tau_\pi = \sigma^{1/2}\,\tilde{\tau}_\pi\,. \tag{25}$$

We refer the reader to the Appendix for the details. While the scaling of $\eta$ with $\sigma$ was known in literature [38, 39], the proposed scaling of $\tau_\pi$ with $\sigma$ used here is new and it is the simplest choice that is consistent with the sound mode dispersion relation (18) and (19).

$(c)$ Expand the variables in Eq. (23) around their equilibrium values

$$\hat{\varepsilon} = \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_0} = 1 + \sigma\varepsilon_1 + \sigma^2\varepsilon_2 + \sigma^3\varepsilon_3 + \ldots \tag{26}$$

$$\hat{v}_x = \frac{v_x}{c_s} = \sigma v_1 + \sigma^2 v_2 + \sigma^3 v_3 + \ldots \tag{27}$$

and

$$\hat{\pi}^{xx} = \frac{\pi^{xx}}{p_0} = \sigma\pi_1^{xx} + \sigma^2\pi_2^{xx} + \sigma^3\pi_3^{xx} + \ldots\,. \tag{28}$$

After the expansions, we organize the resulting equations in powers of $\sigma$, neglecting terms with powers greater than $\sigma^3$. In the usual RPM method, only terms proportional to $\sigma$ and $\sigma^2$ are kept. However, the linear hydrodynamical modes (18) and (19) show that relaxation effects may appear only in the next order of the usual expansion, i.e., at order $\hat{k}^3$. For this reason we consider the $\sigma$ expansion up to $\mathcal{O}(\sigma^3)$ terms to study relaxation effects in nonlinear waves.

$(d)$ By solving the system of algebraic equations: $\sigma^{1/2}\{\ldots\} = 0$, …, $\sigma^3\{\ldots\} = 0$ obtained in the step $(c)$, it is possible to find the system of wave equations in the $(X, Y)$ space. Such system may be transformed back to the $(x, t)$ coordinates through the stretching transformations (24) and (25) yielding the final system of nonlinear wave equations for the perturbations in the energy density.

### B. Nonlinear wave equations

The set of differential equations obtained from the RPM method is given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{t}}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\,\hat{\varepsilon}_1\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 = \frac{\chi}{2}\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\hat{x}^2}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 \tag{29}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{t}}\hat{\varepsilon_2}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}}\hat{\varepsilon}_2+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\,\hat{\varepsilon}_1\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}}\hat{\varepsilon}_2-\frac{\chi}{2}\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\hat{x}^2}\hat{\varepsilon}_2+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\,\hat{\varepsilon}_2\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}}\hat{\varepsilon}_1+\frac{\chi}{4}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}}\hat{\varepsilon}_1\right)^2+\frac{\chi}{2}\,\hat{\varepsilon}_1\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\hat{x}^2}\hat{\varepsilon}_1$$
$$+\frac{1}{4}\,\hat{\varepsilon}_1\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{t}}\hat{\varepsilon}_1+\frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}}\,\hat{\varepsilon}_1\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{x}}\hat{\varepsilon}_1+\frac{\chi}{2}\left[\frac{\chi\sqrt{3}}{12}-\frac{\hat{\tau}_\pi}{\sqrt{3}}\right]\frac{\partial^3}{\partial\hat{x}^3}\hat{\varepsilon}_1=0\,, \tag{30}$$

where $\hat{\varepsilon}_1\equiv\sigma\varepsilon_1$ and $\hat{\varepsilon}_2\equiv\sigma^2\varepsilon_2$. The details of the calculations and assumptions needed to derive these equations are presented in the Appendix. Also, given the solution of (29) and (30), one is also able to study the behavior of $\hat{\pi}^{xx}$. However, in this paper we shall focus on the energy density disturbance and leave a detailed study of the shear stress tensor in this approach for future work.

We emphasize that the Burgers' equation (29) for the first order energy perturbation $\hat{\varepsilon}_1$ does not contain relaxation effects and, thus, it is the same both in Navier-Stokes and in Israel-Stewart theory. This feature has lead us to consider perturbations up to third order in energy density and fluid velocity. This provides the first equation where the relaxation time coefficient appears: Eq. (30) for $\hat{\varepsilon}_2$.

## V. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

An analytical solution of the Burgers' equation (29) can be obtained by the *hyperbolic tangent expansion method* [21] and its variants. However, it is not possible to find a finite solution after substituting the analytical solution for $\hat{\varepsilon}_1$ into (30) to solve it for $\hat{\varepsilon}_2$. We have thus proceeded to solve (29) and (30) numerically.

Several different sets of parameters and initial profiles are considered in this study. Starting with the following typical strong coupling parameters $3\chi/4=\eta_0/s_0=1/(4\pi)$ and $\hat{\tau}_\pi=[2-ln(2)]/(2\pi)$ [37], we show the propagation of nonlinear waves in Fig. 2. We start by solving (29) with the following initial condition

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_1(\hat{x},0)=A_1\;sech^2\Big(\frac{\hat{x}}{B_1}\Big) \tag{31}$$

and inserting the obtained numerical solution of (29) into (30) with the initial profile for $\hat{\varepsilon}_2$

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_2(\hat{x},0)=A_2\;sech^2\Big(\frac{\hat{x}}{B_2}\Big)\,. \tag{32}$$

The first case in Fig. 2 corresponds to $A_1=0.8$, $A_2=0.2$ and $B_1=B_2=0.5$ . The numerical solution of (29), (30), and the total energy perturbation given by (26), $\hat{\varepsilon}=$

$1 + \hat{\varepsilon}_1 + \hat{\varepsilon}_2$, are shown in Fig. 2. We notice that, in spite of the dissipative and relaxation effects, the perturbations still survive as time increases.

In Fig. 3 we show similar calculations as in Fig. 2 but now considering large viscosity and relaxation time coefficients given respectively by $\eta_0/s_0 = 1$ and $\hat{\tau}_\pi = 5\eta_0/s_0$, which is in the ballpark of kinetic theory calculations [42, 44]. In Fig. 3(a) we obtain the expected result for the Burgers' equation with large viscosity: a strong dissipation of the initial pulse. In Fig. 3(b) we also obtain the same dissipation effect but at some intermediate time scales $\hat{t} = 5$ to $\hat{t} = 20$ there is also rarefaction. The total perturbation does not survive for longer times and the perturbed fluid tends to recover the background configuration $\hat{\varepsilon} = 1$ as time increases.

The calculations shown in Fig. 2 are repeated in Fig. 4 (same transport coefficients) now with different initial conditions, i.e, larger widths $B_1 = B_2 = 3$ . Fig. 4 shows an intermediate configuration between shock wave formation (wall formation) and an approximately stable soliton propagation for the total perturbation $\hat{\varepsilon}$ in 4(c). We note that pulses with larger width are not only more stable but the second order effects become more significant for larger times.

In Fig. 5 we considered $A_1 = 0.6$, $A_2 = 0.3$, $B_1 = 0.7$, and $B_2 = 0.5$ for a small viscosity $\eta_0/s_0 = 1/(4\pi)$ and varied the value of the relaxation time coefficient. The values considered were $\hat{\tau}_\pi = 0$ (Navier-Stokes limit) and $\hat{\tau}_\pi = 20\eta_0/s_0$ (where the relaxation time is much more important than the shear viscosity). We only plot the perturbations affected by relaxation: $\hat{\varepsilon}_2$ and consequently $\hat{\varepsilon}$. We notice that the size of the perturbations increase when one increases the relaxation coefficient. This limit is not a very plausible choice but it is interesting to see that the resulting solutions are unstable since they generate values of $\hat{\varepsilon}_2$ which are unacceptably large. If we consider that pulses originate from inhomogeneous density profiles or quantum fluctuations, it is reasonable to assume that the most realistic pulses could be in principle more localized in space and thus they would suffer dissipative, nonlinear, and dispersive effects losing its localized profile.

In Eq. (30) the terms with $\eta_0/s_0$ (except for the last one) contribute to dissipation. The last term of (30) introduces dispersion and involves both $\eta_0/s_0$ and the combination $\Delta_3 = \eta_0/s_0 - \hat{\tau}_\pi$. When $\hat{\tau}_\pi$ tends to zero we recover the Navier-Stokes limit, where problems with causality and instability are expected to appear. When $\hat{\tau}_\pi$ becomes very large, in principle, no problem was expected to occur. However the very large amplification of the

amplitude $\hat{\varepsilon}_2$ is surprising. It implies that a large amount of energy is transferred from the medium to the wave. We see here evidence that the large value chosen for $\hat{\tau}_\pi$ in this particular configuration may be unphysical. This is a interesting finding since in the linear perturbative limit (discussed before in Section II) there were no apparent inconsistencies associated with large values of $\hat{\tau}_\pi$. The existence of an upper bound for $\hat{\tau}_\pi$ can only be seen in the nonlinear perturbation theory used here. However, one may also interpret this enhancement in the amplitude as an indication that the higher order terms that were neglected in the expansion have become significant and must be taken into account. It would be interesting to check if this nonlinear instability can appear in the existing numerical hydrodynamic codes.

In Fig. 6 for a large viscosity $\eta_0/s_0 = 1$ and small amplitudes and widths, given by $A_1 = 0.1$, $A_2 = 0.01$ and $B_1 = B_2 = 0.5$, we compare the results for two different theories, NS and IS. In this case $\hat{\tau}_\pi = 0$ (Navier-Stokes case) and $\hat{\tau}_\pi = 5\eta_0/s_0$, which is a reasonable estimate for $\hat{\tau}_\pi$ for systems described by the Boltzmann equation. This figure is analogous to Fig. 5, as the Israel-Stewart fluid ensures that rarefaction occurs in the tail and there is an enhancement in the front of the pulse.

Using the same parameters as in Fig. 6, we summarize the effects of relaxation considering the "soliton-like" configuration for the initial conditions: $A_1 = 0.6$, $A_2 = 0.4$, $B_1 = B_2 = 4$ in Fig. 7. Relaxation increases the pulse amplitudes in some regions, as it has a dispersive character. However, this behavior is different from the NS case in which there is an enhancement of the amplitude in the opposite direction of the pulse.

The pulse in the Israel-Stewart fluid propagates ahead of that from the Navier-Stokes fluid. We clearly notice that IS hydrodynamics favors the wall front formation, while NS disperses the pulse to the opposite direction of motion. This might be the most important feature of relaxation time effects in nonlinear wave perturbation found in this paper and is both present for strong and weak coupling inspired parameters.

In all figures we notice that the numerical solutions of (29) and (30) do not diverge for long times, i.e., they are not unstable. The nontrivial study of causality and stability for nonlinear wave equations cannot be performed as simply as it was done in the linear case. Such study is in progress.




(a)




(b)




(c)

FIG. 2. Numerical solutions for the energy density disturbances in the nonlinear regime in Eqs. (29) (fig. 2(a)) and (30) (fig. 2(b)) for $\eta_0/s_0 = 1/(4\pi)$ and $\hat{\tau}_\pi = [2 - ln(2)]/(2\pi)$. The fig. 2(c) shows the complete energy density perturbation $\hat{\varepsilon} = 1 + \hat{\varepsilon}_1 + \hat{\varepsilon}_2$ . The perturbations survive despite the dissipative effects.

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

We derived a system of coupled differential equations which describes nonlinear wave perturbations in the energy density of 2nd order conformal fluids. Our semi-analytical treatment provides a simple (yet nontrivial) picture of how the relaxation time coefficient affects the propagation of sound waves perhaps in a more transparent way than in a complex numerical hydrodynamical simulation.

Our system of differential equations can be easily solved numerically and in certain con-




(a)




(b)




(c)

FIG. 3. Numerical solutions for the energy density disturbances in the nonlinear regime in Eqs. (29) (fig. 3(a)) and (30) (fig. 3(b)) for $\eta_0/s_0 = 1$ and $\hat{\tau}_\pi = 5\eta_0/s_0$. The fig. 3(c) shows the complete energy density perturbation. The perturbations do not survive due large dissipative effects.

ditions gives "soliton-like" behavior for the initial wave packet evolution. Our work may be relevant for the understanding of nonlinear perturbations in viscous relativistic hydrodynamics. For instance, our study of the deep "Israel-Stewart limit" where $\hat{\tau}_\pi = 20\eta_0/s_0$ in Fig. 5 suggests the existence of an upper bound for $\hat{\tau}_\pi$ (for a given $\eta_0/s_0$), which marks the onset of a possible instability in the solutions in this case. While the linearized study of wave propagation shows that $\hat{\tau}_\pi$ cannot be much smaller than $\eta_0/s_0$ (due to instabilities), our nonlinear treatment of the wave equation for the energy density in hydrodynamics indicates that in a consistent microscopic theory $\hat{\tau}_\pi$ and $\eta_0/s_0$ must be of comparable magnitude (this is valid, for instance, in the case of kinetic theory calculations).




(a) (b)




(c)

FIG. 4. Numerical solutions for the energy density disturbances in the nonlinear regime in Eqs. (29) (fig. 4(a)) and (30) (fig. 4(b)) for $\eta_0/s_0 = 1/(4\pi)$ and $\hat{\tau}_\pi = [2 - ln(2)]/(2\pi)$. In this case the width of the initial pulses is 6 times larger than in Fig. 2. The fig. 4(c) shows the complete energy density perturbation. The perturbations with these initial profiles mimic soliton behavior.

The differential equations (29) and (30) are nontrivial alternative approaches to investigate the nonlinear regime of wave propagation in 2nd order conformal hydrodynamics. However, they are still simple enough to be investigated with standard numerical routines. For this particular type of study, these equations offer a baseline and an alternative to the full numerical hydrodynamical equations. We hope that our work can be used both as a guide as well as a possible check of precision of numerical second order hydrodynamic codes, similar to the analytical solutions found in [33, 45].

It would be interesting to generalize the analysis performed here to include effects from




FIG. 5. Numerical solutions for the energy density disturbance in the nonlinear regime in Eq. (30) (fig. 5(a) and fig. 5(b)) for $\eta_0/s_0 = 1/(4\pi)$ and two choices of $\hat{\tau}_\pi$. The fig. 5(c) and 5(d) shows the complete energy density perturbation. For large values of the relaxation coefficient, the energy perturbation $\hat{\varepsilon}_2$ acquires large amplitude and becomes inconsistent as a small disturbance.

bulk viscosity (i.e., drop the underlying conformal invariance of the equations) and different equations of state. Moreover, even though the nonlinear terms in 2nd order hydrodynamics do not contribute to the linearized study, they may play an interesting role in the investigation of nonlinear wave propagation in the QGP.




(a)




(b)




(c)




(d)

FIG. 6. Comparison between the energy density perturbations in Navier-Stokes and Israel-Stewart theory for $\eta_0/s_0 = 1$ and $\hat{\tau}_\pi = 5\,\eta_0/s_0$. The fig. 6(a) and fig. 6(b) show the numerical solutions for Eq. (30) and fig. 6(c) and 6(d) are the complete energy density perturbation. The relaxation ensures that rarefaction occurs in the tail of the pulse while there is an enhancement in the front of the pulse.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partially financed by the Brazilian funding agencies CAPES, CNPq and FAPESP. J. N. thanks the Physics Department of the Ohio State University for its hospitality during the time this work was being finished.




(a) (b)

FIG. 7. Comparison between the energy density perturbations in Navier-Stokes and Israel-Stewart theory for $\eta_0/s_0 = 1$ and $\hat{\tau}_\pi = 5\,\eta_0/s_0$ for larger width of the initial pulse. Conformal Israel-Stewart hydrodynamics favors the wall front formation.

## APPENDIX

Here we focus on the detailed calculations involved in section $B$, subsection 3. The RPM method described in section $B$, subsection 1 is used in the simplest conformal relativistic Israel-Stewart hydrodynamics equations (2), (3) and (4).

From the property of dissipative tensor $u_\mu \pi^{\mu\nu} = 0$ we can write

$$\pi^{tt} = v^2 \pi^{xx} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \pi^{tx} = \pi^{xt} = v\pi^{xx}\,. \tag{33}$$

Using $\varepsilon_0/\kappa\, {T_0}^4 = 3/4$ and (33) in (2), (3) and (4), performing the operations $(a)$ to $(c)$, we find:

$$\sigma\Big\{ -\frac{\partial\varepsilon_1}{\partial X} + \frac{4}{3}\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial X}\Big\} + \sigma^2\Big\{ -\frac{\partial\varepsilon_2}{\partial X} + \frac{4}{3}\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial\varepsilon_1}{\partial Y} + v_1\frac{\partial\varepsilon_1}{\partial X} - \frac{4}{9}\,v_1\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial X} + \frac{1}{3}\,\pi_1^{xx}\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial X}\Big\}$$
$$+\sigma^3\Big\{ -\frac{\partial\varepsilon_3}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial\varepsilon_2}{\partial Y} + v_1\frac{\partial\varepsilon_2}{\partial X} + v_2\frac{\partial\varepsilon_1}{\partial X} - \frac{4}{9}\,v_1\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial X} - \frac{4}{9}\,v_2\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial X} + \frac{4}{9}\,v_1\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial Y} + \frac{4}{3}\,\frac{\partial v_3}{\partial X} + \frac{4}{9}\,{v_1}^2\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial X}$$
$$- \frac{1}{9}\,\pi_1^{xx} v_1\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial X} + \frac{1}{3}\,\pi_1^{xx}\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial X} + \frac{1}{3}\,\pi_2^{xx}\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial X}\Big\} = 0\,, \tag{34}$$

$$\sigma\Big\{ \frac{\partial\varepsilon_1}{\partial X} - \frac{4}{3}\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial\pi_1^{xx}}{\partial X}\Big\} + \sigma^2\Big\{ -\frac{4}{3}\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial\varepsilon_2}{\partial X} + \frac{4}{3}\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial Y} + \frac{4}{3}\,v_1\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial X} - \frac{1}{3}\,v_1\frac{\partial\varepsilon_1}{\partial X}$$

$$-\frac{1}{3}\,v_1\frac{\partial\pi_1^{xx}}{\partial X}-\frac{1}{3}\,\pi_1^{xx}\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial X}+\frac{\partial\pi_2^{xx}}{\partial X}\Big\}+\sigma^3\Big\{-\frac{4}{3}\,\frac{\partial v_3}{\partial X}+\frac{4}{3}\,\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial Y}+\frac{4}{3}\,v_1\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial X}+\frac{4}{3}\,v_2\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial X}-\frac{4}{9}\,{v_1}^2\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial X}$$
$$-\frac{1}{3}\,v_2\frac{\partial\varepsilon_1}{\partial X}-\frac{1}{3}\,v_1\frac{\partial\varepsilon_2}{\partial X}+\frac{1}{3}\,v_1\frac{\partial\varepsilon_1}{\partial Y}+\frac{\partial\varepsilon_3}{\partial X}+\frac{\partial\pi_3^{xx}}{\partial X}-\frac{1}{3}\,{v_1}^2\frac{\partial\pi_1^{xx}}{\partial X}-\frac{1}{3}\,v_1\frac{\partial\pi_2^{xx}}{\partial X}$$
$$-\frac{1}{3}\,v_2\frac{\partial\pi_1^{xx}}{\partial X}+\frac{1}{3}\,v_1\frac{\partial\pi_1^{xx}}{\partial Y}+\frac{1}{3}\,v_1\frac{\partial\pi_1^{xx}}{\partial Y}-\frac{1}{3}\,\pi_1^{xx}\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial X}-\frac{1}{3}\,\pi_1^{xx}v_1\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial X}-\frac{1}{3}\,\pi_2^{xx}\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial X}\Big\}=0 \qquad (35)$$

and

$$\sigma^{1/2}\Big\{L\sqrt{3}\,\pi_1^{xx}\Big\}+\sigma^{3/2}\Big\{-\tilde{\tau}_\pi\frac{\partial\pi_1^{xx}}{\partial X}+\frac{4}{3}\frac{\tilde{\eta}}{p_0}\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial X}+L\sqrt{3}\,\pi_2^{xx}\Big\}$$
$$+\sigma^{5/2}\Big\{-\tilde{\tau}_\pi\frac{\partial\pi_2^{xx}}{\partial X}+\tilde{\tau}_\pi\frac{\partial\pi_1^{xx}}{\partial Y}+\tilde{\tau}_\pi v_1\frac{\partial\pi_1^{xx}}{\partial X}+\frac{4}{3}\tilde{\tau}_\pi\,\pi_1^{xx}\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial X}-\frac{4}{9}\frac{\tilde{\eta}}{p_0}\,v_1\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial X}$$
$$+\frac{4}{3}\frac{\tilde{\eta}}{p_0}\,\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial X}+L\sqrt{3}\,\pi_3^{xx}-\frac{L}{\sqrt{3}}\,\pi_1^{xx}v_1\Big\}=0 \qquad (36)$$

respectively. The pressure $p_0$ is the background pressure. As described in the step $(d)$, from the $\mathcal{O}(\sigma^{1/2})$ term in (36) we have:

$$\pi_1^{xx}=0\ . \qquad (37)$$

From $\mathcal{O}(\sigma)$ terms of (34) and (35) ( and using (37) ) we find:

$$v_1=\frac{3}{4}\,\varepsilon_1\ . \qquad (38)$$

Applying (37) and (38) to the $\mathcal{O}(\sigma^{3/2})$ term of (36), we find:

$$\pi_2^{xx}=-\frac{\tilde{\eta}}{p_0}\frac{1}{L\sqrt{3}}\,\frac{\partial\varepsilon_1}{\partial X}\ . \qquad (39)$$

Similarly, applying (37), (38) and (39) to the $\mathcal{O}(\sigma^2)$ terms of (34) and (35) we find respectively:

$$\frac{4}{3}\,\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial X}-\frac{\partial\varepsilon_2}{\partial X}=-\frac{\partial\varepsilon_1}{\partial Y}-\frac{1}{2}\,\varepsilon_1\frac{\partial\varepsilon_1}{\partial X} \qquad (40)$$

and

$$\frac{4}{3}\,\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial X}-\frac{\partial\varepsilon_2}{\partial X}=\frac{\partial\varepsilon_1}{\partial Y}+\frac{1}{2}\,\varepsilon_1\frac{\partial\varepsilon_1}{\partial X}-\frac{\tilde{\eta}\sqrt{3}}{3Lp_0}\,\frac{\partial^2\varepsilon_1}{\partial X^2}\ . \qquad (41)$$

Inserting (37), (38) and (39) into the $\mathcal{O}(\sigma^{5/2})$ term of (36) we obtain:

$$\frac{4}{3}\frac{\tilde{\eta}}{p_0}\,\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial X}+L\sqrt{3}\,\pi_3^{xx}-\frac{\tilde{\eta}}{4p_0}\,\varepsilon_1\frac{\partial\varepsilon_1}{\partial X}+\tilde{\tau}_\pi\frac{\tilde{\eta}}{L\sqrt{3}\,p_0}\,\frac{\partial^2\varepsilon_1}{\partial X^2}=0\ . \qquad (42)$$

Equating (40) with (41) we find the following Burgers' equation for $\varepsilon_1(X,T)$:

$$\frac{\partial\varepsilon_1}{\partial Y}+\frac{1}{2}\,\varepsilon_1\frac{\partial\varepsilon_1}{\partial X}=\frac{\tilde{\eta}\sqrt{3}}{6Lp_0}\,\frac{\partial^2\varepsilon_1}{\partial X^2}\ . \qquad (43)$$

The $\mathcal{O}(\sigma^3)$ terms of (34) and (35) provide, after using (37), (38) and (39) the following results:

$$\frac{4}{3}\frac{\partial v_3}{\partial X} - \frac{\partial \varepsilon_3}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial \varepsilon_2}{\partial Y} + \frac{3}{4}\varepsilon_1\frac{\partial \varepsilon_2}{\partial X} + \frac{2}{3}v_2\frac{\partial \varepsilon_1}{\partial X} - \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon_1\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial X} + \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon_1\frac{\partial \varepsilon_1}{\partial Y} + \frac{3}{16}{\varepsilon_1}^2\frac{\partial \varepsilon_1}{\partial X} - \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{4Lp_0\sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon_1}{\partial X}\right)^2 = 0 \tag{44}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \varepsilon_3}{\partial X} - \frac{4}{3}\frac{\partial v_3}{\partial X} + \frac{4}{3}\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial Y} - \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon_1\frac{\partial \varepsilon_2}{\partial X} + \frac{2}{3}v_2\frac{\partial \varepsilon_1}{\partial X} + \varepsilon_1\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial X} + \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon_1\frac{\partial \varepsilon_1}{\partial Y} - \frac{3}{16}{\varepsilon_1}^2\frac{\partial \varepsilon_1}{\partial X} + \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{4Lp_0\sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon_1}{\partial X}\right)^2 + \frac{\partial \pi_3^{xx}}{\partial X} + \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{4Lp_0\sqrt{3}}\varepsilon_1\frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_1}{\partial X^2} = 0\ . \tag{45}$$

Isolating $\pi_3^{xx}$ in (42) and $\partial\varepsilon_3/\partial X$ in (44), and then substituting these two results into (45) we obtain the following equation for $\varepsilon_2(X,T)$ and $v_2(X,T)$ (considering $\varepsilon_1$ previously known from (43) ) :

$$\frac{\partial \varepsilon_2}{\partial Y} + \frac{4}{3}\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial Y} + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_1\frac{\partial \varepsilon_2}{\partial X} + \frac{4}{3}v_2\frac{\partial \varepsilon_1}{\partial X} + \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon_1\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial X} + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_1\frac{\partial \varepsilon_1}{\partial Y} + \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{4Lp_0\sqrt{3}}\varepsilon_1\frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_1}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\tilde{\eta}\sqrt{3}}{4Lp_0}\left[\left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon_1}{\partial X}\right)^2 + \varepsilon_1\frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_1}{\partial X^2}\right] - \tilde{\tau}_\pi\frac{\tilde{\eta}}{3L^2p_0}\frac{\partial^3 \varepsilon_1}{\partial X^3} - \frac{4\sqrt{3}\tilde{\eta}}{9Lp_0}\frac{\partial^2 v_2}{\partial X^2} = 0\ . \tag{46}$$

We have thus a system of wave equations: (40), (43) and (46) for the three variables: $\varepsilon_1(X,T)$, $\varepsilon_2(X,T)$ and $v_2(X,T)$. In order to solve it, we shall return to the Cartesian $(x,t)$ space using the (24) and (25) as described in the step $(d)$ of the RPM. So, (40), (43) and (46) are rewritten as:

$$\frac{4}{3}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{v}_2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{\varepsilon}_2 = -\sqrt{3}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 - \frac{1}{2}\hat{\varepsilon}_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{\varepsilon}_1\ , \tag{47}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\hat{\varepsilon}_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 = \frac{\eta}{6p_0}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 \tag{48}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\hat{\varepsilon_2} + c_s\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{\varepsilon}_2 + \frac{4}{3}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\hat{v}_2 + \frac{4}{3\sqrt{3}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{v}_2 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\hat{\varepsilon}_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{\varepsilon}_2 + \frac{4}{3\sqrt{3}}\hat{v}_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 + \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}\hat{\varepsilon}_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{v}_2 + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\varepsilon}_1\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\hat{\varepsilon}_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 + \frac{\eta}{12p_0}\hat{\varepsilon}_1\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 + \frac{\eta}{4p_0}\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{\varepsilon}_1\right)^2 + \hat{\varepsilon}_1\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\hat{\varepsilon}_1\right]$$

$$-\tau_\pi \frac{\eta}{3\sqrt{3}\,p_0}\,\frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^3}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 - \frac{4\eta}{9p_0}\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\hat{v}_2 = 0\ . \tag{49}$$

The three equations above are for the dimensionless variables $\hat{\varepsilon}_1 \equiv \sigma\varepsilon_1$, $\hat{\varepsilon}_2 \equiv \sigma^2\varepsilon_2$ as defined in (26) and $\hat{v}_2 \equiv \sigma^2 v_2$ from (27). Inserting (48) into (47) we obtain:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{v}_2 = \frac{3}{4}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{\varepsilon}_2 - \frac{\eta\sqrt{3}}{8p_0}\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 \tag{50}$$

which, considering the constant of integration equals to zero yields the the following relation:

$$\hat{v}_2 = \frac{3}{4}\,\hat{\varepsilon}_2 - \frac{\eta\sqrt{3}}{8p_0}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{\varepsilon}_1\ . \tag{51}$$

Calculating the spatial derivative of (48) we have:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{\varepsilon}_1\right)^2 + \hat{\varepsilon}_1\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\hat{\varepsilon}_1\right] + \frac{\eta}{6p_0}\,\frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^3}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 \tag{52}$$

Substituting (51) and (52) in (49) we find:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\hat{\varepsilon_2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{\varepsilon}_2 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\,\hat{\varepsilon}_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{\varepsilon}_2 - \frac{\eta}{6p_0}\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\hat{\varepsilon}_2 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\,\hat{\varepsilon}_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 + \frac{\eta}{27p_0}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{\varepsilon}_1\right)^2$$
$$+\frac{\eta}{6p_0}\,\hat{\varepsilon}_1\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 + \frac{1}{4}\hat{\varepsilon}_1\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}}\hat{\varepsilon}_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 + \frac{\eta}{6p_0}\left[\frac{\eta\sqrt{3}}{12p_0} - \frac{\tau_\pi}{\sqrt{3}}\right]\frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^3}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 = 0\ . \tag{53}$$

Finally, the set of equations for the small perturbations in energy density: $\hat{\varepsilon}_1$ and $\hat{\varepsilon}_2$ as described by (26), is given by the Burgers' equation (48) and the equation (53).

Using the dimensionless variables $\hat{x} = x\,T_0$, $\hat{t} = t\,T_0$, $\hat{\tau}_\pi = T_0\,\tau_\pi$ and recalling to the Gibbs relation $p_0 = T_0 s_0/4$, we rewrite (48) and (53) as:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{t}}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\,\hat{\varepsilon}_1\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 = \frac{\chi}{2}\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \hat{x}^2}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 \tag{54}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{t}}\hat{\varepsilon_2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}}\hat{\varepsilon}_2 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\,\hat{\varepsilon}_1\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}}\hat{\varepsilon}_2 - \frac{\chi}{2}\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \hat{x}^2}\hat{\varepsilon}_2 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\,\hat{\varepsilon}_2\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 + \frac{\chi}{4}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}}\hat{\varepsilon}_1\right)^2 + \frac{\chi}{2}\,\hat{\varepsilon}_1\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \hat{x}^2}\hat{\varepsilon}_1$$
$$+\frac{1}{4}\,\hat{\varepsilon}_1\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{t}}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}}\,\hat{\varepsilon}_1\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 + \frac{\chi}{2}\left[\frac{\chi\,\sqrt{3}}{12} - \frac{\hat{\tau}_\pi}{\sqrt{3}}\right]\frac{\partial^3}{\partial \hat{x}^3}\hat{\varepsilon}_1 = 0\,. \tag{55}$$

---

[1] M. Gyulassy and L. McLerran, Nucl. Phys. A **750**, 30 (2005).

[2] E. Shuryak, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **62**, 48 (2009).

[3] U. Heinz and R. Snellings, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **63**, 123 (2013).

[4] J. Noronha-Hostler, J. Noronha and C. Greiner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 172302 (2009).

[5] N. Demir and S. A. Bass, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 172302 (2009).

[6] J. Noronha-Hostler, J. Noronha and C. Greiner, Phys. Rev. C **86**, 024913 (2012).

[7] Oleg N. Moroz, arXiv:1301.6670; arXiv:1112.0277.

[8] G. S. Denicol, C. Gale, S. Jeon and J. Noronha, arXiv:1308.1923 [nucl-th].

[9] See, for instance, Z. Qiu and U. W. Heinz, Phys. Rev. C **84**, 024911 (2011); B. Schenke, S. Jeon and C. Gale, Phys. Rev. C **85**, 024901 (2012).

[10] J. I. Kapusta, B. Müller, and M. Stephanov, Phys. Rev. C **85**, 054906 (2012).

[11] R. Andrade, F. Grassi, Y. Hama, T. Kodama and O. Socolowski, Jr., Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 202302 (2006); R. P. G. Andrade, F. Grassi, Y. Hama, T. Kodama and W. L. Qian, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 112301 (2008); J. Takahashi, B. M. Tavares, W. L. Qian, R. Andrade, F. Grassi, Y. Hama, T. Kodama and N. Xu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 242301 (2009).

[12] B. Alver and G. Roland, Phys. Rev. C **81**, 054905 (2010) [Erratum-ibid. C **82**, 039903 (2010)]; G.-Y. Qin, H. Petersen, S. A. Bass, and B. Muller, Phys. Rev. C **82**, 064903 (2010); B. Schenke, S. Jeon, and C. Gale, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 042301 (2011); Z. Qiu and U. W. Heinz, Phys. Rev. C **84**, 024911 (2011); Y. Cheng, Y.-L. Yan, D.-M. Zhou, X. Cai, B.-H. Sa, and L. P. Csernai, Phys. Rev. C **84**, 034911 (2011). R. S. Bhalerao, M. Luzum, and J.-Y. Ollitrault, Phys. Rev. C **84**, 054901 (2011).

[13] E. Shuryak, Phys. Rev. C **80**, 054908 (2009); P. Staig and E. Shuryak, Phys. Rev. C **84**, 044912 (2011); Phys. Rev. C **84**, 034908 (2011).

[14] S. Floerchinger and U. A. Wiedemann, JHEP **1111**, 100 (2011).

[15] R. P. G. Andrade and J. Noronha, Phys. Rev. C **88**, 034909 (2013).

[16] H. Stoecker, Nucl. Phys. A **750**, 121 (2005); J. Casalderrey-Solana, E. V. Shuryak and D. Teaney, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **27**, 22 (2005) [Nucl. Phys. A **774**, 577 (2006)]; A. K. Chaudhuri and U. Heinz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 062301 (2006); L. M. Satarov, H. Stoecker and I. N. Mishustin, Phys. Lett. B **627**, 64 (2005); B. Betz, J. Noronha, G. Torrieri, M. Gyulassy, I. Mishustin and D. H. Rischke, Phys. Rev. C **79**, 034902 (2009); B. Betz, M. Gyulassy, J. Noronha and G. Torrieri, Phys. Lett. B **675**, 340 (2009); J. Noronha, M. Gyulassy and G. Torrieri, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 102301 (2009); B. Betz, J. Noronha, G. Torrieri, M. Gyulassy and

D. H. Rischke, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 222301 (2010).

[17] L. Landau and E. Lifshitz, *Fluid Mechanics*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, (1987).

[18] S. Weinberg,*Gravitation and Cosmology*, New York: Wiley, (1972).

[19] P. Romatschke, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E **19**, 1 (2010).

[20] J. Y. Ollitrault, *Eur. J. Phys.* **29**, 275 (2008); arXiv:0708.2433 [nucl-th].

[21] D. A. Fogaça, F. S. Navarra and L. G. F. Filho, Phys. Rev. C **88**, 025208 (2013).

[22] R. C. Davidson, *Methods in Nonlinear Plasma Theory*, Academic Press, New York and London, (1972).

[23] H. Washimi and T. Taniuti, Phys. Rev. Lett. **17**, 996 (1966).

[24] H. Leblond, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **41**, 043001 (2008).

[25] L. Debnath, *Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations for Scientists and Engineers*, third edition, Birkhäuser, USA, (2011).

[26] W.A. Hiscock and L. Lindblom, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **151**, 466 (1983); Phys. Rev. D **31**, 725 (1985); Phys. Rev. D **35**, 3723 (1987); Phys. Lett. A **131**, 509 (1988).

[27] G. S. Denicol, T. Kodama, T. Koide and P. Mota, J. Phys. G **35**, 115102 (2008).

[28] S. Pu, T. Koide and D. H. Rischke, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 114039 (2010).

[29] W. Israel, Annals Phys. **100**, 310 (1976); W. Israel and J. M. Stewart, Annals Phys. **118**, 341 (1979).

[30] Y. Aoki, G. Endrodi, Z. Fodor, S. D. Katz and K. K. Szabo, Nature **443**, 675 (2006); S. Borsanyi, G. Endrodi, Z. Fodor, A. Jakovac, S. D. Katz, S. Krieg, C. Ratti and K. K. Szabo, JHEP **1011**, 077 (2010); M. Cheng, S. Ejiri, P. Hegde, F. Karsch, O. Kaczmarek, E. Laermann, R. D. Mawhinney and C. Miao *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 054504 (2010); S. Borsanyi, Z. Fodor, C. Hoelbling, S. D. Katz, S. Krieg and K. K. Szabo, arXiv:1309.5258 [hep-lat].

[31] D. A. Fogaça, F.S. Navarra and L. G. Ferreira Filho, *Nucl. Phys. A* **887**, 22 (2012).

[32] S. Pu, T. Koide and Q. Wang, *AIP Conf. Proc.* **1235**, 186 (2010).

[33] H. Marrochio, J. Noronha, G.S. Denicol, M. Luzum, S. Jeon and C. Gale, arXiv:1307.6130 [nucl-th].

[34] D. A. Fogaça, F. S. Navarra and L. G. Ferreira Filho, *Solitons: Interactions, Theoretical and Experimental Challenges and Perspectives* (Nova Science Publishers, New York, 2013); arXiv:1212.6932 [nucl-th].

[35] S. Holm and S. P. Näsholm, *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **130** 2195 (2011).

[36] S. Holm, S. P. Näsholm, F. Prieur and R. Sinkus, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **66**, 621 (2013).

[37] R. Baier, P. Romatschke, D. T. Son, A. O. Starinets and M. A. Stephanov, *(JHEP)* **04**, 100 (2008).

[38] N. Antar, *Int. Jour. of Engin. Sci.* **40**, 1179 (2002).

[39] R. Saeed and A. Shah, *Phys. Plasmas* **17**, 032308 (2010).

[40] D. A. Fogaça, L. G. Ferreira Filho and F. S. Navarra, *Phys. Rev. C* **81**, 055211 (2010); D. A. Fogaca, F. S. Navarra and L. G. Ferreira Filho, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 054011 (2011).

[41] J. Noronha-Hostler, G. S. Denicol, J. Noronha, R. P. G. Andrade and F. Grassi, Phys. Rev. C **88**, 044916 (2013).

[42] G. S. Denicol, H. Niemi, E. Molnar and D. H. Rischke, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 114047 (2012).

[43] H. M. Nussenzveig, *Causality and Dispersion Relations*, Academic Press, (1972).

[44] G. S. Denicol, J. Noronha, H. Niemi and D. H. Rischke, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 074019 (2011).

[45] Y. Hatta, J. Noronha and B. -W. Xiao, arXiv:1401.6248 [hep-th].